

A Brief Review of recent Artificial Market Simulation (Agent-Based Model, ABM) Studies for Financial Market Regulations and/or Rules

Takanobu Mizuta

SPARX Asset Management Co., Ltd.

This working review shows recent agent-based models (ABMs) for financial market (artificial market simulations) to discuss financial regulations and/or rules. This review aimed to introduce recent papers as many as possible. See [Mizuta 25], “Financial Market Design by an Agent-Based Model”, text book published by Springer Nature for more details of importance of discussion into design financial markets with artificial market models, contribution to society and how to build and use such models. ([Mizuta 20a] has related materials, detail presentation slides and a presentation movie on YouTube.)

It is very difficult to discuss about changing financial market regulations and/or rules by only using results of empirical studies. An artificial market, which is a kind of an agent-based model, can isolate the pure contribution of changing the regulations to the price formation and can treat situations that have never occurred. These are strong points of the artificial market simulation study. Recently, some artificial market studies contributed to discussion what financial regulations and rules should be, for example, price variation limits and short selling regulation whether preventing bubbles and crashes or not, tick size, usage rate of dark pools, rules for investment diversification, speed of order matching systems on financial exchanges, frequent batch auctions, how active funds that trade infrequently make a market more efficient, an interaction between leveraged ETF markets and underlying markets and micro-foundation of price variation model using intelligence of artificial market simulation studies. I will review those studies.

1. Artificial Market Simulation

This working review shows recent agent-based models (ABMs) for financial market (artificial market simulations) to discuss financial regulations and/or rules. This review aimed to introduce recent papers as many as possible. See [Mizuta 25], Financial Market Design by an Agent-Based Model, text book published by Springer Nature for more details of importance of discussion into design financial markets with artificial market models, contribution to society and how to build and use such models. ([Mizuta 20a] has related materials, detail presentation slides and a presentation movie on YouTube.)

[Mizuta 20a] described that ‘designing a financial market that works well is very important for developing and maintaining an advanced economy, but is not easy because changing detailed rules, even ones that seem trivial, sometimes causes unexpected large impacts and side effects. A computer simulation using an agent-based model can directly treat and clearly explain such complex systems where micro processes and macro phenomena interact.’

It is very difficult to discuss about changing financial market regulations and/or rules only by results of empirical studies. Because so many factors cause price formation in actual markets, an empirical study cannot isolate the pure contribution of existing new type regulations or of changing rules to price formation. Furthermore, empirical studies cannot investigate situations that have never occurred before in real financial markets.

We usually discuss whether regulations should be changed or not on the basis of their effects on price formation. An artificial market, which is a kind of a multi-agent

simulation (an agent-based model), can isolate the pure contribution of changing the regulations to the price formation and can treat situations that have never occurred [LeBaron 06, Chakraborti 11, Chen 12, Cristelli 14, Todd 16, Mizuta 20a]. These are strong points of the artificial market simulation study.

Not only academies but also financial regulators and stock exchanges are recently interested in multi-agent simulations such artificial market models to investigate regulations and rules of financial markets. Indeed, the Science article [Battiston 16] described that ‘since the 2008 crisis, there has been increasing interest in using ideas from complexity theory (using network models, multi-agent models, and so on) to make sense of economic and financial markets’, and the Nature article [Farmer 09] described that ‘such (agent-based) economic models should be able to provide an alternative tool to give insight into how government policies could affect the broad characteristics of economic performance, by quantitatively exploring how the economy is likely to react under different scenarios’. [Aruka 17, Mizuta 20a] also claimed importance of an artificial market simulation. [Segovia 22] analyzed bibliometric on artificial market models.

Recently, some artificial market studies contributed to discussion what financial regulations and rules should be [Todd 16, Mizuta 20a], for example, price variation limits and/or short selling regulation whether preventing bubbles and crashes or not [Yagi 10, Yeh 10, Mizuta 13b, Mizuta 15b, Mizuta 16c, Veld 16, Zhang 16, Llacay 19, Xiong 22, Li 24b, Yang 25b, Dong 24a], the rule for investment diversification [Yagi 17], transaction taxes [Westerhoff 08, Vryzhenko 17], financial leverages [Thurner 12, Veld 16], circuit breakers [Kobayashi 11, Muranaga 99, Dong 24b], tick size [Darley 07, Mizuta 13a, Collver 17, Yang 20, Zhao 20, Mizuta 22a], fre-

quent batch auctions [Mizuta 16a], usage rate of dark pools [Mo 13, Mizuta 14, Mizuta 15c], speed of order matching systems on financial exchanges [Mizuta 15a, Mizuta 16d], the effects of different regulatory policies directed towards high frequency traders (HFTs) [Leal 16], effects of Basel and value at risk [Cheng 17, Llacay 17], one-sided and two-sided markets [Zhou 17], settlement cycle [Xiong 17], extension of trading hours [Miwa 18], maker-taker fees [Hoshino 22, Guan 24a], short-selling limitation [Noritake 22], capital adequacy ratio (CAR) regulation in the Basel regulatory framework [Hirano 20], policy maker intervention to prevent bubbles and crashes [Westphal 23] and so on.

Of course, many artificial market simulation studies investigated the nature of financial markets, detection of factors influencing market liquidity [Yagi 19], how active funds that trade infrequently make a market more efficient [Mizuta 17, Mizuta 19b], investigation of interaction between leveraged ETF markets and underlying markets to price formation using artificial market simulations [Yagi 16, Yagi 20a, Mizuta 23, Mizuta 24], effects of arbitrage in ETFs [Torii 15, Mizuta 19a, Shearer 21, Shearer 22, Yagi 24, Guan 24b], micro-foundation of price variation model using intelligence of artificial market simulation studies [Mizuta 16b], market efficiency [Immonen 17, Pruna 16, Tsao 17, El Oubani 21], market impacts [Cui 12, Oesch 14, Bouchaud 18], especially market impacts of institutional investors [Luo 20], trading volume and price distortion [Lespagnol 17], financial market bubble and crush [Yagi 12, Paddrik 12, Torii 15, Schmitt 16, Benhammada 17, Lucas 18, Agliari 18, Shearer 21, Shearer 22, BEK 23, Zhu 23], market liquidities on the network of banks [Sakiyama 16], effects of insider traders [Fuchen 18], immature markets [Krichene 16], interaction between option markets and underlying markets [Kawakubo 14a, Kawakubo 14b], effects of market makers [Kuno 22, Zhou 24], effects of market makers and passive funds [Braun-Munzinger 16], effects of HFTs [Gsell 09, Wang 13, Kusada 14, Xiong 15, Hanson 16, Mandes 19, Yagi 20b, Gao 22], effects of HFTs using an order book imbalance strategy [Yagi 23], effects of arbitrage trading between markets that have different latencies [Wah 13, Wah 16, Wellman 17, Duffin 18], an information diffusion on investors' multi-later networks [Biondo 16, Alsulaiman 17, Zhang 17, Biondo 17], role of behavioral heterogeneity [Hessary 17, Masmoudi 21], an investor network and herding [Bermúdez 16, Krichene 17, Wang 17], comparing behaviors of actual and simulated HFT traders [Hirano 19, Hirano 21a], optimizing HFT strategy in an artificial market simulation [Maeda 20], competition on analysts' forecasts [Zhang 20], interaction between trades of large funds and those of individual investors [Shi 21], effect of increasing horizontal shareholding with index funds on competition and market prices [Mizuta 18], information game [Ben Abdelaziz 21], a communication line with relativistic delay [Lerner 21], impact of arbitrages [Zhou 21], order cancellations [Yoshimura 20], spoofing orders [Mizuta 20c, Wang 21], the effect of risk preferences and optimal rebalancing frequency on performance [Veryzhenko 21], information cascades [Benhammada 21], VaR forecasting [Tubbenhauer 21], essentially

instability of financial markets by optimizing investment strategies [Mizuta 22b], manipulation of benchmark and closing price [Shearer 22], effects of liquidation strategies [Luo 22], many learning traders interacting [Dicks 23, Lussange 24], effects of switching trade-strategies [Bassi 23], short squeeze [Matei 22], effects of noise traders [Dai 23], market impact of financial literacy [Zhou 23], effects of stop loss orders [Liston 24], effects of belief [Albers 24], over-the-counter (OTC) market [Vidler 24], an investor structure [Zhao 24], interplay of cross market [Gatti 24], capturing persistent jumps [Song 24], illiquid markets [Fluri 24], meme stocks [Matsumoto 25] and so on. [Kita 16] reviewed the U-Mart project which is one of Japanese top artificial market research projects in the 2000s. Recently, PhalmJ^{*1} that is a platform of an artificial market model is also used. [Hirano 22a, Hirano 23] quantitatively fit parameters of artificial market models using machine learning.

There are studies of AI traders learning in artificial markets such as a execution algorithm AI divides the orders and automatically places orders little by little [Karpe 20], AI technical traders [Izumi 09, Maharani 24] and an AI portfolio manager [Kuo 21, BIO 24].

[Hirano 22b] proposed a concept called the artificial market data mining platform in which a model designed to evaluate a trading strategy tuned up on data mining. The model can fairly evaluate strategies without actual data dependence. Further more, the model can change environment through changing its parameters and this study showed that different environment leads to be different difficulty of prediction for returns. So, users can test a trading strategy in the several environment on this model. Moreover, this study showed that parameters of an artificial market model should satisfy that the trading strategies should not unrealistically perform and this shows a new way validating parameters of an artificial market model. There are some studies to discuss for validation of an artificial market model, e.g., [Faria 22].

Recently, who should be charged with responsibility for an artificial intelligence performing market manipulation have been discussed. [Mizuta 20b] constructed an artificial intelligence using a genetic algorithm that learns in an artificial market simulation, and investigated whether the artificial intelligence discovers market manipulation through learning with an artificial market simulation despite a builder of artificial intelligence has no intention of market manipulation. As a result, the artificial intelligence discovered market manipulation as an optimal investment strategy. This result suggests necessity of regulation, such as obligating builders of artificial intelligence to prevent artificial intelligence from performing market manipulation.

On the other hand, [Byrd 22] showed the way how to make an AI not to manipulate and spoof.

[Zare 21] built an artificial market model to detect price manipulation. [Li 22] investigated impact of spoofing trades. [Shearer 23] investigated manipulating a financial benchmark using an artificial market model. [Gu 24] investigated effects of liquidity on the "spoofability."

*1 <https://github.com/plham/plhamJ>

Recently, artificial market models to test new automated trades, high frequency trades and market impacts by an trade-execution algorithm have been emerged, especially, [Li 20, Coletta 21, Hirano 21b, Hirano 22c, Kolm 22, Coletta 22, Nagy 23, Coletta 23, Li 24a, Huang 24, Mascioli 24, Prata 24, Berti 25, Yang 25a] introduced a new approach of an artificial market model where they built generators of realistic stock market order streams that are learning actual data of orders using machine learning models. [Vytelingum 25] measured market impacts by such an artificial market model binding a previous market impact model. There are also bench-marking for such models [Nagy 25]. It is very large merit that the models can generate realistic order flows without assuming any agent strategies and are suitable to test new automated high speed or high frequency strategies. [Potluru 23] is a great review for synthetic data applications in finance including synthetic and generative trades data using an artificial market model.

By the way, [Mizuta 22b] showed financial markets are essentially unstable by optimizing investment strategies. Even if all other traders are fixed, only one investor will use backtesting to optimize their strategy, which leads to the time evolution of market prices becoming unstable. Optimization instability is one level higher than "non-equilibrium of market prices." Therefore, the time evolution of market prices produced by investment strategies having such unstable parameters is highly unlikely to be predicted and have stable laws written by equations. This nature makes us suspect that financial markets include the principle of natural uniformity and indicates the difficulty of building an equation model explaining the time evolution of prices.

Recently, investigation on deep hedging sometimes uses an artificial market [Kang Gao 23].

Macro-economics should also be investigated by agent-based models to discuss for financial and/or fiscal policy by central banks and government. There are many studies trying such very important and difficult investigation [Ogibayashi 14, Guerini 22, Guilmi 22, Reissl 22, Kopiec 22, Peters 22, Neuner 22, Chen 23, Knicker 23, Glielmo 23, Takashima 24, Bardoscia 24, Pallante 24, Kotb 24, Hommes 24, Brusatin 24, Dwarakanath 24, Rusnak 24, Poledna 23, Domenico 25, Amendola 25]. [Noia 24, Berndt 25] included bond market to a macro-economics artificial market. [Sasaki 25] developed FX markets. The great review book is [Gallegati 17, Ogibayashi 22, Farmer 22, Axtell 25, Ionescu 25, Dosi 25].

I will review some of those studies on the next section.

The artificial market simulation models simulate macro processes such as investors and order matching on a computer. Artificial market studies observe macro phenomena such as price variations as a result of the modeled macro processes. Artificial market models only modelize the micro processes and observe macro phenomena, therefore, artificial market models are fully micro-founded models. So, artificial market models have been gaining intelligence micro-macro interaction mechanisms such as what micro processes amplify price variations.

An artificial market can isolate the direct effect of changes

the regulations to price formation, and can treat situations that have never occurred. However, outputs of artificial market simulations may not be accurate or credible forecasts in actual markets. It is important for artificial market simulations to show possible mechanisms affecting price formation through many runs and gain new knowledge; conversely, a limitation of artificial market simulations is that their outputs may, but not certainly, occur in actual financial markets.

Therefore, for more detailed discussions, they should compare the simulation results to those from studies using other methods, e.g. empirical studies, would not show such possible mechanisms. Indeed, artificial markets should replicate macro phenomena existing generally for any asset and any time. Price variation, which is a kind of macro phenomena, is not explicitly modeled in artificial markets. Only micro processes, agents (general investors), and price determination mechanisms (financial exchanges) are explicitly modeled in artificial markets. Macro phenomena are emerging as the outcome interactions of micro processes. Therefore, the simulation outputs should replicate general macro phenomena at least to show that simulation models are probable in actual markets.

However, it is not a primary purpose for the artificial market to replicate specific macro phenomena only for a specific asset or a specific period. An unnecessary replication of macro phenomena leads to models that are over-fitted and too complex. Such models would prevent our understanding and discovering mechanisms affecting the price formation because of related factors increasing.

Indeed, artificial market models that are too complex are often criticized because they are very difficult to evaluate [Chen 12]. A too complex model not only would prevent our understanding mechanisms but also could output arbitrary results by over-fitting too many parameters. Simpler models harder obtain arbitrary results, and are easier evaluated.

As Weisberg mentioned [Weisberg 12], "Modeling, (is) the indirect study of real-world systems via the construction and analysis of models." "Modeling is not always aimed at purely veridical representation. Rather, they worked hard to identify the features of these systems that were most salient to their investigations." Therefore, under different phenomena to focus on, good models are different. Thus, a model is good only for the purpose of study and may be not good for other purposes.

Therefore, previous studies constructed an artificial market models that are as simple as possible and do not intentionally implement agents to cover all the investors who would exist in actual financial markets.

The next section, I review some of recent artificial market studies for financial market regulations and/or rules.

2. Recent Studies for Financial Market Regulations and/or Rules

2.1 Bubble, Crash, Price Variation Limit and Short Selling Regulation

[Mizuta 13b] built an artificial market model, based on the model of [Chiarella 02], implementing a learning process to replicate bubbles and investigated a price variation limit whether preventing bubbles and crash or not. The price variation limits are expected to be an especially effective way to prevent bubbles, so the model should be able to replicate bubbles.

When they gave a bubble-inducing trigger, which is a rapid increment of the fundamental value, a bubble occurred in the case with the model implementing the learning process but did not occur in the case without the process. They also showed that a hazard rate enables validation of whether the models can replicate a bubble process or not.

[Mizuta 15b] built an artificial market model, based on the model of [Chiarella 02], and compared effects of price variation limits, short selling regulations and up-tick rules.

In the case without the regulations, the price fell to below a fundamental value when an economic crash occurred. On the other hand, in the case with the regulations, this overshooting did not occur. However, the short selling regulation and the up-tick rule caused the trading prices to be higher than the fundamental value.

They also surveyed an adequate limitation price range and an adequate limitation time span for the price variation limit and found a parameters' condition of the price variation limit to prevent the over-shorts. They also showed the limitation price range should be bigger than a volatility calculated by the limitation time span.

[Mizuta 16c] investigated effects of price variation limits and up-tick rules in large price fluctuation turbulence caused by large erroneous orders, and investigated whether dark pools stabilize markets or not.

They found that the amount of erroneous orders decided ranges of price falls. They also found that the limited time span of price variation limits should be shorter than the time of erroneous orders existing to prevent large turbulence. For the effects of up-tick rules adopting a trigger method they found that in the cases with time unlock, effect time of the up-tick rule is not very different from the time of erroneous orders existing, and this prevents large turbulence. In the case with price unlock, the rules prevent large turbulence in all cases.

Because we cannot forecast how long an investor would erroneous order in actual financial markets, it is implied that actual stock markets should employ several price variation limits that have different limited time spans. Tokyo Stock Exchange employs two kinds of price variation limits that adopt different time spans: one is daily price limit, 300 minutes and the other is special quote, 3 minutes. It is implied that Tokyo Stock Exchange should employ another price variation limit having shorter limited time spans than one minute because HFTs (High Frequency Trading) are increasing recently.

The results investigating the up-tick rules suggest that the price unlock is a better unlock method than time unlock, which the Japan Financial Services Agency adopted on November 2013. However, more detail discussion would be future study.

2.2 Rule for Investment Diversification

As financial products have grown in complexity and level of risk compounding in recent years, investors have come to find it difficult to assess investment risk. Furthermore, companies managing mutual funds are increasingly expected to perform risk control and thus prevent assumption of unforeseen risk by investors. A related revision to the mutual fund legal system in Japan led to establishing what is known as "the rule for investment diversification" in December 2014, without a clear discussion of its expected effects on market price formation having taken place. [Yagi 17, Nozaki 17] used an artificial market to investigate its effects on price formation in financial markets where investors must follow the rule at the time of a market crash that was caused by the collapse of the asset fundamental price. As results, they found that, in a two-asset market where investors had to follow the rule for investment diversification, when the fundamental price of one asset collapsed and its market price also collapsed, the other asset market price also fell [Yagi 17]. They also found that the possibility that when the fundamental price of one asset collapses and its market price also collapses, some asset market prices also fall, whereas other asset market prices rise for a market in which investors follow the rule for investment diversification [Nozaki 17].

2.3 Tick Size

[Mizuta 13a, Mizuta 22a] investigated competition, in terms of taking market share of trading volume between two artificial financial markets that had exactly the same specifications except tick size and initial trading volume using multi-agents simulations.

When the tick size of market A, ΔP_A , was larger than approximately the standard deviation of tick by tick return, $\bar{\sigma}_t$, if the tick size of market B, ΔP_B , was enough smaller than ΔP_A , much trading occurred in market B inside ΔP_A . Therefore, market B took market share of the trading volume from market A.

On the other hand, when ΔP_A was smaller than approximately $\bar{\sigma}_t$, even if ΔP_B was very small, price fluctuations cross many widths of ΔP_A and enough price formations occurred only in market A. Therefore, market B could rarely take market share of trading volume from market A.

They also compared these simulation results with empirical data from the Tokyo Stock Exchange. They argued that this investigation will enable discussion about the adequate tick sizes markets should adopt.

After [Mizuta 13a] be published, [Nagumo 16, Nagumo 17] built a simple model and introduced general analytical solutions explaining moving speed of trading volume share in competing financial markets due to tick size differences. The general analytical solutions showed that share is shifted from a market with a larger tick size to a market with a smaller tick size, and that the size of share-shift is deter-

mined by difference between tick sizes, not ratio between tick sizes.

[Yang 20] investigated more details than [Mizuta 13a, Mizuta 22a] especially about market quality (market liquidity).

They investigated the way that minimum tick size affects market quality based on an artificial market. The simulation results indicated that stepwise and combination systems can promote market quality in certain aspects, compared with a uniform system. A minimal combination system performed the best to improve market quality. These results implied that a minimal combination system could be considered a new direction for market policy reform to improve market quality.

2.4 Frequent Batch Auctions

[Mizuta 16a] implemented a price mechanism that is changeable between a comparable continuance double auction (CDA, $\delta t = 1$) and a frequent batch auction (FBA, $\delta t > 1$) continuously introducing a new parameter, a batch auction interval δt . And then, they analyzed profits/losses and risks of market maker strategies (MM) and investigated whether MM can continue to provide liquidity even on FBA by using an artificial market model.

Their simulation results showed that δt is larger, execution rates of MM is smaller and this causes to reduce liquidity supply by MM. Furthermore, they suggested that when δt is larger (FBA), MM cannot avoid both an overnight risk and a price variation risk intraday. Furthermore, they also suggested that when $\delta t > 1$ (FBA) it is very difficult that MM is rewarded for risks and continue to provide liquidity. Only the case of $\delta t = 1$ (CDA) MM is rewarded for risks and continue to provide liquidity.

These suggestions implies that MM that can provide liquidity on CDA cannot continue to provide liquidity on FBA and then many MM retire, and finally liquidity will be reduced. This implication is consistent with the argument by [Otsuka 14, Melton 17].

2.5 Dark Pool

[Mizuta 15c] investigated how a dark pool, in which no order books are provided, affects financial markets' efficiency and price-discovery function by using the artificial market model. This is a very important investigation into financial systemic risk because making a market inefficient and losing the price-discovery function may make the market unstable and increase financial systemic risk. In this study, they additionally implemented a smart order routing (SOR) to treat actual market selection of investors. They discussed quantitatively how spreading of dark pools beyond our experience could affect the price-discovery function. They also aimed to clarify the mechanism of a dark pool that makes a market efficient or inefficient.

They found that market inefficiency (M_{ie}) was decreased sharply by raising the share of the trading value amount of the dark pool (D) in $D \lesssim 70\%$. On the other hand, in $D \gtrsim 70\%$, M_{ie} increased significantly. This indicates that there is an optimal usage rate of the dark pool for the market efficiency.

The reason M_{ie} decreased in $D \lesssim 70\%$ is that the execution rates in the lit market are reduced by more market orders being sent to the dark pool by the SOR than limit orders increasing D . This leads the depth of limit orders to become thicker, these thicker limit orders absorb market orders, and thus the market price is still stable near the fundamental price.

The reason M_{ie} increased significantly in $D \gtrsim 70\%$ is as follows. When a market price (P^t) becomes much higher than the fundamental price (P_f), many waiting buy orders are stored in the dark pool and averaged estimated returns ($r_{e,j}^t$) for all agents are negative, which means that agents make market sell orders. These market sell orders could have made P^t converge to P_f , but many waiting buy orders stored in the dark pool absorb these market sell orders and prevent P^t converging to P_f . Therefore, P^t maintains a much higher price than P_f , and the lit market is made inefficient. When P^t becomes much lower than P_f , the opposite occurs.

They also discussed mechanisms by which a dark pool makes a market efficient or inefficient by a simple equation model. The equations about an execution rate they derived indicate that whether $D > 1/2$ or $D < 1/2$ is intrinsically important to whether markets become efficient or inefficient. Therefore, this suggests that the optimal usage rate of the dark pool for the market efficiency is $D = 1/2$ and that a trading volume amount in dark pools higher than that in lit markets makes markets inefficient. They also compared results of the equations with those of simulations and found similar tendencies.

They also derived an equation showing the boundary of a buy-sell imbalance at which dark pools destroy the price-discovery function. They also discussed that when the usage rate of dark pools is low, for example $D = 20\%$, the equation suggests that dark pools rarely destroy the price-discovery function even though a large buy-sell imbalance occurs. On the other hand, when the usage rate of dark pools is very high, for example $D = 90\%$, this equation suggests that dark pools very easily destroy the price-discovery function by a very slight buy-sell imbalance.

A future study is to investigate more details of the optimal usage rate of dark pools for the market efficiency. Our results suggested the optimal usage rate was around $50\% - 70\%$, which is much higher than about 8% , which is the cap level of dark pools that European regulators are discussing. However, they could not determine the precise level of the optimal usage rate of dark pools for the market efficiency.

Another future study is comparing the simulation results with empirical data. Indeed, they cannot observe M_{ie} of real markets by empirical data because they cannot find fundamental prices in real markets. On the other hand, they can observe an execution rate, depth of limit orders and a bid ask spread of each stock in real lit markets from empirical data. In addition, they can estimate D of each stock from some statistics. D are different from one stock to another. Therefore they can draw figures from empirical data plotting each stock having different D , execution

rates and so on. To compare these figures with simulation results, they can compare the simulation results with empirical data.

They also observe buy-sell imbalances in real lit markets from empirical data. They can discuss how much D may destroy the price-discovery function in real financial markets.

2.6 Increasing Speed of Order Matching Systems on Financial Exchanges

[Mizuta 15a, Mizuta 16d] constructed a simple artificial market model in which the latency was implemented and investigated price formations and market efficiency for various latencies. They clarify the mechanisms of the direct effects of latency on financial market efficiency and discuss how much of an increase in speed is needed for market efficiency.

If the latency is large, agents cannot quickly change their estimated prices when the market trend has finished. Agents then make unnecessary market orders, and such market orders increase the execution rate. They argued that increasing the execution rate reduces limit orders to near the market price, widens the bid ask spread, and makes the market becomes less efficient. This indicates that latency should be sufficiently smaller than the average order interval for a market to be efficient.

The largest contribution of this study was the possibly that a large latency (too slow of a matching system) would directly make market price formation inefficient. Therefore, too slow of a matching system might destabilize a market. This implication is generally opposite to that in which the increase in the speed of matching systems might destabilize financial markets.

They also analyzed empirical data of the Tokyo Stock Exchange and compared the results with simulation results. It is possible that the market was chronically inefficient during a large portion of trading time due to the latency before the introduction of new trading system, “arrowhead”, from 2010 in the Tokyo Stock Exchange. On the other hand, the market is not chronically inefficient due to the latency at least for a time scale of minutes after the introduction of arrowhead.

For future work, they will investigate the case of a large amount of orders for less than one minute after very important news. They did not consider this case for specific and very short spans in the simulations of this study. They implemented only normal agents replicating general investors; however, latency was more important, especially for HFTs whose investment strategies are market maker, arbitrage, and so on. They should discuss the latencies for different types of agents for future work.

2.7 Effects of several Regulations directed towards HFTs

[Leal 16] constructed an agent-based model to analyze the effectiveness of a set of regulatory policies on market volatility, and on the occurrence and the duration of flash crashes. analyzed the impact of policies trading halt facilities (both ex-post and ex-ante designs), minimum resting

times, order cancellation fees, and transaction taxes. These policies have been proposed and implemented in many developed countries to prevent flash crashes.

Simulations results showed that, policies slowing down the order cancellation of HFTs, like the implementation of minimum resting times or cancellation fees lead to significant improvements in terms of lower market volatility and incidence of flash crashes. Also the introduction of a financial transaction tax, by discouraging HFTs, can improve market stability, although the effectiveness of such a measure is much lower compared to policies targeting order cancellation, and effects are relevant only for high values of the tax.

At the same time, all these policies are characterized by a trade-off between market stability (in terms of lower volatility and number of flash crashes) and market resilience (in terms of longer recoveries from a crash). This trade-off emerges because of the positive role played by HFTs in quickly restoring good liquidity conditions after a crash. Regulatory policies introduce important distortions in such a process, thereby contributing to lengthen the duration of price-recoveries. The beneficial impact of HFTs on price resilience also underlies the results concerning the study of the impact of circuit breakers, and in particular explain why ex-post circuit breakers have no effect on volatility and have a negative impact on the duration of flash crashes. In contrast, they found that ex-ante circuit breakers are very effective, as they markedly reduce price volatility and completely remove flash crashes.

Overall, simulation results suggest that regulatory policies can have quite complex effects on markets populated by normal investors and HFTs. From the viewpoint of policy design, our analysis highlights in particular the importance of understanding the different transmission mechanisms through which the effects of regulatory policies unfold. Moreover, it points out the need of taking into account the fundamental dual role played by HFTs. On the one hand, HFTs can be the source of extreme events like flash crashes by placing aggressive sell orders and removing liquidity from the market. On the other hand, it can play a leading role in the recovery from the crash, by quickly restoring liquidity.

3. Some Recent Studies for the Nature of Financial Markets

3.1 Detection of Factors Influencing Market Liquidity

[Yagi 19, Yagi 20b] investigated which market factors affect major liquidity indicators, including Volume, Tightness, Resiliency, and Depth, using an artificial market, which is a type of agent-based simulation system. As a result, market liquidity based on Volume is completely opposite to market liquidity based on Tightness, Resiliency, or Depth. Moreover, they confirmed the price decline rate from the fundamental price and the price convergence periods to the fundamental price as a measure of the convergence speed, which is the original meaning of Resiliency,

from the price level, which has been brought about by random price changes. Therefore, the trades of fundamentalists have the effect of shortening the convergence period, i.e., causing market liquidity to increase.

3.2 How active funds that trade infrequently make a market more efficient

Since managers of active funds choose stocks that are expected to raise their prices on the basis of the fundamental value, many argue that active funds discover the fundamental value and make a market more efficient. However, it has not been clear whether actual active funds make a market more efficient or not. It has been shown that active funds that trade infrequently earn more. At first glance, infrequent trades seem to not impact and change market prices and this leads to market prices not converging with the fundamental price. Therefore, it is important to discuss whether active funds that trade infrequently make a market more efficient or not, and if so, we should investigate the mechanism of how they do so.

[Mizuta 17, Mizuta 19b] built a model of investors who trade infrequently in an artificial market model, and they investigated effects of these investors on market prices and whether they make a market more efficient by using the model.

The results indicated that such active investors trade frequently in the rare situation that the market becomes unstable and inefficient due to the market price moving away from the fundamental price. These trades, occurring only at a necessary time, impact the market prices and lead them converging with the fundamental price. This leads preventing the market from becoming more unstable and less efficient.

Though the trading volume of fundamental investors is low throughout whole period, the volume increases greatly only when a market becomes less efficient, and these trades then make the market efficient. An increasing market volatility makes the order prices of speculators (technical investors) move further away from the fundamental price, and this leads to amplifying market volatility more excessively.

It is possible that the orders of active investors prevent this amplification. This also implies that money moving from active funds to passive funds leads a market to become less efficient.

3.3 Interaction between a Leveraged ETF and an Underlying

[Yagi 16] built an artificial market model, based on the model of [Yagi 10], implementing rebalancing trades of a leveraged ETF, and investigated impact of the rebalancing trades on the price formation of future index (underlying asset) market. They found that a market impact (MI) per a volatility (V) is very important key parameter, when $MI < V$ the index future market becomes stable, on the other hand when $MI > V$ the index future market becomes unstable. They also showed the possible mechanism of such destabilizing market.

[Yagi 20a] compared different strategies of trading of a

leveraged ETF for a proposed trading model in a continuous double auction market using an agent-based simulation, and report how best to suppress an increase in market volatility. As a result, it was found that as the minimum number of orders in a rebalancing trade increases, the impact on the market price formation decreases.

3.4 Micro-Foundation of Price Variation Model

[Mizuta 16b] tried micro-foundation of the ARCH(1) model, which is a kind of financial risk asset price variation model, using intelligence of artificial market simulation studies. That is they tried to clarify which micro processes determine each coefficient of the ARCH(1) model. Then they obtained,

$$\sigma_t^2 = \rho^2 k^2 + 2\rho^2 k^2 \frac{l}{\alpha} r_{t-1}^2. \quad (1)$$

The dispersion of investors' estimated prices(ρ) is larger or the orders by the buy-sell imbalance taking liquidity(k) is larger, the volatility is larger. The ration of the normal investors taking liquidity to the normal traders providing liquidity(l) is higher or the measure of risk aversion of the normal investors(α) is lower, the magnitude of volatility clustering is larger.

There are two future works. One is an empirical study validating our model. Another one is more detail discussion of our assumptions that are too strong assumptions for real financial markets.

[Nakagawa 25] studied future works of this section.

4. Testing new automated trades in an artificial market

The ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*2 had been held from 2020 sponsored by JP Morgan Chase & Co., the conference featured many presentations on artificial market studies, especially, artificial market models to test new automated trades, high frequency trades and market impacts by an trade-execution algorithm.

When buying or selling a large amount of a particular stock, investors should place only a few orders at a time because placing them all at once would impact market prices significantly. A trade-execution algorithm divides the orders and automatically places orders a few at a time. A better trade-execution algorithm enables an investor to trade a large amount with better prices; therefore, the performance of the algorithm is very important especially for larger investors like as institutional investors.

[Byrd 19] built an artificial market simulation platform, ABIDES, an Agent-Based Interactive Discrete Event Simulation environment, to test such automated trades. The platform implemented many and deeply detailed components; for instance, the agent repeated the orders of actual financial markets and replicated the latency, which is delay of order information between investors and exchanges.

*2 <https://ai-finance.org/>

[Karpe 20] build an trade-execution algorithm using AI that learns in the artificial market on AIBIDES. An artificial market model for investigating a trade-execution algorithm should replicate stylized facts of high-frequency micro structures like an order book because the performance of trade-execution algorithm would depend on the structures. [Vyetenko 20] investigated whether such models could replicate the stylized facts of the micro structure and indicated many problems.

[Li 20, Coletta 21, Hirano 21b, Hirano 22c, Kolm 22] built generators of realistic stock market order streams that are learning actual data of orders. [Coletta 21] investigated market impacts of an trade-execution algorithm using an artificial market model based on ABIDES including only two agents the trade-execution algorithm agent and realistic order streams generator agent that uses generative adversarial networks (GANs), a kind of deep learnings. [Hirano 21b] implemented realistic order streams generator agent only focused on the high-frequency-trader market-making (HFT-MM) strategy. It is possible that fixing strategy will lead to decrease fitting ability on an in-sample but to increase generalization ability on an out-sample.

[Coletta 22] proposed to learn a unique “world” agent from historical data. It was intended to emulate the overall trader population, without the need of making assumptions about individual market agent strategies. They implemented their world agent simulator models as a Conditional Generative Adversarial Network (CGAN), as well as a mixture of parametric distributions, and they compared their models against previous work.

We can say these are a new approach of an artificial market model. Actually, because the model cannot start a simulation without actual order data not only when the model learns but also when the model simulate on an out sample, the models are not suitable to investigate new rules and regulations that have never implemented before. And, overtraining and overtraining for financial market data that is lacking principle of the uniformity of nature are worried. However, it is very large merit that the models can generate realistic order flows without assuming any agent strategies and are suitable to test new automated high speed or high frequency strategies.

5. Who responsible when AIs perform illegal trades

Numerous studies, e.g., [Scopino 16, Azzutti 21] have discussed the question of who should be held responsible when AI accidentally performs illegal actions. In the financial sector specifically, it is necessary to address AIs that manipulate markets. Market manipulation refers to traders artificially increasing or decreasing market prices for profit. Such a practice is prohibited in many countries as it leads to unfair trades.

[Scopino 16] indicated that the developer who has no intention of manipulating a market should not be held responsible when his/her AI trader manipulates a market at its own discretion, according to the present regulations of

the United States. This means that even though market prices are manipulated, no one is held responsible. This situation presents difficulties in maintaining the integrity of the market.

[Azzutti 21] argued that autonomous algorithmic traders may involve significant risks to market integrity, independent from their human experts, thanks to self-learning capabilities offered by state-of-the-art and innovative machine learning methods. We conclude by addressing the shortcomings of the present legal framework and develop a number of guiding principles to assist legal and policy reform in the spirit of promoting and safeguarding market integrity and safety. They concluded by addressing the shortcomings of the present legal framework and develop a number of guiding principles to assist legal and policy reform in the spirit of promoting and safeguarding market integrity and safety.

[Mizuta 20b, Mizuta 22a] constructed an AI trader using a genetic algorithm that learns in an artificial market simulation. Then he investigated whether the AI trader could discover how to manipulate a market through its learning even though a user of an AI trader had no intention of building such a capability into it.

The results showed that the AI trader discovered how to manipulate a market as an optimal investment strategy. This indicates that even though the developer may have no intention of market manipulation, an AI trader can discover how to do so as an optimal investment strategy in an artificial market simulation in which it automatically learns the impact of its trades on market prices. However, the results also indicates the possibility that an AI trader cannot discover how to manipulate a market manipulation when the learning employs backtesting in which there are no impact on market prices.

The results suggest the need for regulation, such as obligating AI developers to prevent AIs from performing market manipulation. Another suggestion is that developers should limit trades performed by AI to avoid impacting market prices.

Disclaimer

Note that the opinions contained herein are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of SPARX Asset Management Co., Ltd.

Reference

- [Agliari 18] Agliari, A., Naimzada, A., and Pecora, N.: Boom-bust dynamics in a stock market participation model with heterogeneous traders, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 91, pp. 458 – 468 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2018.04.007>
- [Albers 24] Albers, E., Irfan, M. T., and Botsch, M. J.: Beliefs, Shocks, and the Emergence of Roles in Asset Markets: An Agent-Based Modeling Approach, in *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pp. 40–48 (2024), <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.5555/3635637.3662850>
- [Alsulaiman 17] Alsulaiman, T. and Khashanah, K.: *Network of Networks: A Meta-model for Simulated Financial Markets*, pp. 671–682, Springer International Publishing, Cham (2017), https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-50901-3_53
- [Amendola 25] Amendola, M. and Pereira, M. C.: Are fiscal multipliers state dependent? Insights from an agent-based model, *LEM*

- Papers Series*, Vol. 10, (2025),
<https://doi.org/10.57838/sssa/943z-ra64>
- [Aruka 17] Aruka, Y.: Special feature: preliminaries towards ontological reconstruction of economics—theories and simulations, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 409–414 (2017),
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40844-017-0088-z>
- [Axtell 25] Axtell, R. L. and Farmer, J. D.: Agent-based modeling in economics and finance: Past, present, and future, *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. 63, No. 1, pp. 197–287 (2025),
<https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20221319>
- [Azzutti 21] Azzutti, A., Ringe, W.-G., and Stiehl, H. S.: Machine Learning, Market Manipulation, and Collusion on Capital Markets: Why the “Black Box” Matters, *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law*, Vol. 43, p. 79 (2021),
<https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/jil/vol43/iss1/2>
- [Bardoscia 24] Bardoscia, M., Carro, A., Hinterschweiger, M., Napoletano, M., Popoyan, L., Roventini, A., and Uluc, A.: The impact of prudential regulations on the UK housing market and economy: insights from an agent-based model (2024),
<https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/working-paper/2024/the-impact-of-prudential-regulations-on-the-uk-housing-market-and-economy>
- [Bassi 23] Bassi, F., Ramos, R., and Lang, D.: Bet against the trend and cash in profits: An agent-based model of endogenous fluctuations of exchange rates, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, pp. 1–44 (2023),
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00191-023-00821-x>
- [Battiston 16] Battiston, S., Farmer, J. D., Flache, A., Garlaschelli, D., Haldane, A. G., Heesterbeek, H., Hommes, C., Jaeger, C., May, R., and Scheffer, M.: Complexity theory and financial regulation, *Science*, Vol. 351, No. 6275, pp. 818–819 (2016),
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/351/6275/818>
- [BEK 23] Heterogeneous agent-based modeling of endogenous boom-bust cycles in financial markets with adaptive expectations and dynamically switching fractions between contrarian and fundamental market entry strategies, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 170, p. 113330 (2023),
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.113330>
- [Ben Abdelaziz 21] Ben Abdelaziz, F. and Mrad, F.: Multiagent systems for modeling the information game in a financial market, *International Transactions in Operational Research* (2021),
<https://doi.org/10.1111/itor.12944>
- [Benhammada 17] Benhammada, S., Amblard, F., and Chikhi, S.: An Asynchronous Double Auction Market to Study the Formation of Financial Bubbles and Crashes, *New Generation Computing*, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 129–156 (2017),
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00354-017-0010-6>
- [Benhammada 21] Benhammada, S., Amblard, F., and Chikhi, S.: An Agent-Based Model to Study Informational Cascades in Financial Markets, *New Generation Computing*, Vol. 39, No. 2, pp. 409–436 (2021),
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00354-021-00133-3>
- [Bermúdez 16] Bermúdez, J. A.: The effect of herding in financial markets (2016),
<https://hdl.handle.net/10234/165063>
- [Berndt 25] Berndt, D., Boogers, D., and Chakraborty, S.: AN AGENT-BASED MODEL OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE AS CORPORATE BOND MARKET REGULATOR, *SAIS Proceedings*, Vol. 17, (2025),
<https://aisel.aisnet.org/sais2025/17>
- [Berti 25] Berti, L., Prenkaj, B., and Velardi, P.: TRADES: Generating Realistic Market Simulations with Diffusion Models (2025),
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2502.07071>
- [BIO 24] Trading strategies and Financial Performances: A simulation approach, *International Review of Financial Analysis*, Vol. 95, p. 103426 (2024),
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2024.103426>
- [Biondo 16] Biondo, A. E., Pluchino, A., and Rapisarda, A.: A Multi-layer Model of Order Book Dynamics, in *57th Annual Conference Bocconi University* (2016),
<http://www.siecon.org/online/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/BIONDO.pdf>
- [Biondo 17] Biondo, A. E., Pluchino, A., and Rapisarda, A.: Informative Contagion Dynamics in a Multilayer Network Model of Financial Markets, *Italian Economic Journal*, pp. 1–24 (2017),
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40797-017-0052-4>
- [Bouchaud 18] Bouchaud, J.-P.: Agent-based models for market impact and volatility, *Handbook of Computational Economics*, Elsevier (2018),
<https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.hescom.2018.02.002>
- [Braun-Munzinger 16] Braun-Munzinger, K., Liu, Z., and Turrell, A.: Staff Working Paper No. 592 An agent-based model of dynamics in corporate bond trading, *Bank of England, Staff Working Papers* (2016),
<http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/research/Pages/workingpapers/2016/swp592.aspx>
- [Brusatin 24] Brusatin, S., Padoan, T., Coletta, A., Delli Gatti, D., and Glielmo, A.: Simulating the Economic Impact of Rationality through Reinforcement Learning and Agent-Based Modelling, in *Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF ’24, p. 159167, New York, NY, USA (2024), Association for Computing Machinery,
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3677052.3698621>
- [Byrd 19] Byrd, D., Hybinette, M., and Balch, T. H.: ABIDES: Towards High-Fidelity Market Simulation for AI Research, *arXiv* (2019),
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.12066>
- [Byrd 22] Byrd, D.: Learning Not to Spoof, in *Proceedings of the Third ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF ’22, p. 139147, New York, NY, USA (2022), Association for Computing Machinery,
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3533271.3561767>
- [Chakraborti 11] Chakraborti, A., Toke, I. M., Patriarca, M., and Abergel, F.: Econophysics review: II. Agent-based models, *Quantitative Finance*, Vol. 11, No. 7, pp. 1013–1041 (2011),
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2010.539249>
- [Chen 12] Chen, S.-H., Chang, C.-L., and Du, Y.-R.: Agent-based economic models and econometrics, *Knowledge Engineering Review*, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 187–219 (2012),
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269888912000136>
- [Chen 23] Chen, S. and Desiderio, S.: An agent-based framework for the analysis of the macroeconomic effects of population aging, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, pp. 1–35 (2023),
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00191-023-00814-w>
- [Cheng 17] Cheng, F. and Wellman, M. P.: Accounting for Strategic Response in an Agent-Based Model of Financial Regulation, in *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, EC ’17, pp. 187–203, New York, NY, USA (2017), ACM,
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3033274.3085114>
- [Chiarella 02] Chiarella, C. and Iori, G.: A simulation analysis of the microstructure of double auction markets, *Quantitative Finance*, Vol. 2, No. 5, pp. 346–353 (2002),
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1088/1469-7688/2/5/303>
- [Coletta 21] Coletta, A., Prata, M., Conti, M., Mercanti, E., Bartolini, N., Moulin, A., Vyetenko, S., and Balch, T.: Towards Realistic Market Simulations: a Generative Adversarial Networks Approach, in *2nd ACM International Conference on AI in Finance (ICAIF)* (2021),
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3490354.3494411>
- [Coletta 22] Coletta, A., Moulin, A., Vyetenko, S., and Balch, T.: Learning to Simulate Realistic Limit Order Book Markets from Data as a World Agent, in *Proceedings of the Third ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF ’22, p. 428436, New York, NY, USA (2022), Association for Computing Machinery,
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3533271.3561753>
- [Coletta 23] Coletta, A., Jerome, J., Savani, R., and Vyetenko, S.: Conditional Generators for Limit Order Book Environments: Explainability, Challenges, and Robustness, ICAIF ’23, p. 2735, New York, NY, USA (2023), Association for Computing Machinery,
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3604237.3626854>
- [Collver 17] Collver, C.: An application of agent-based modeling to market structure policy: the case of the U.S. Tick Size Pilot Program and market maker profitability, in *White Paper*, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (2017),
<https://www.sec.gov/marketstructure/research/increasing-the-mpi-combined.pdf>

- [Cristelli 14] Cristelli, M.: *Complexity in Financial Markets, Modeling Psychological Behavior in Agent-Based Models and Order Book Models*, Springer (2014), <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00723-6>
- [Cui 12] Cui, W. and Brabazon, A.: An agent-based modeling approach to study price impact, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFEr)*, 2012 IEEE Conference on, pp. 1–8 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr.2012.6327798>
- [Dai 23] Dai, X., Zhang, J., and Chang, V.: Noise traders in an agent-based artificial stock market, *Annals of Operations Research*, pp. 1–30 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05528-7>
- [Darley 07] Darley, V. and Outkin, A. V.: *Nasdaq Market Simulation: Insights on a Major Market from the Science of Complex Adaptive Systems*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc. (2007), <https://www.worldscientific.com/worldscibooks/10.1142/6217>
- [Dicks 23] Dicks, M., Paskaramoorthy, A., and Gebbie, T.: Many learning agents interacting with an agent-based market model, *arXiv* (2023), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.07393>
- [Domenico 25] Domenico, J. D., Catalano, M., and Riccetti, L.: Scaling and forecasting in a data-driven agent-based model: Applications to the Italian macroeconomy, *Economic Modelling*, Vol. 147, p. 107046 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2025.107046>
- [Dong 24a] Dong, X., Chen, T., Gao, T., Li, H., and Li, Y.: The Magnet Effect of Price Limits: An Agent-Based Approach, *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, Vol. 0, No. 0, pp. 1–21 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2024.2434042>
- [Dong 24b] Dong, X., Li, H., Zhou, J., and Li, Y.: Market Behaviors under the Stock Index Circuit Breaker using an Agent-based Approach, *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering*, pp. 1–26 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11518-024-5621-0>
- [Dosi 25] Dosi, G. and Roventini, A.: *Agent-based Macroeconomics: The Schumpeter Meeting Keynes Models*, Elements in Evolutionary Economics, Cambridge University Press (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009414173>
- [Duffin 18] Duffin, M. and Cartlidge, J.: Agent-Based Model Exploration of Latency Arbitrage in Fragmented Financial Markets, in *2018 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI)*, pp. 2312–2320 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI.2018.8628638>
Accepted Ver.: <https://seis.bristol.ac.uk/~cszjpc/pubs/CIFEr-LatencyArb-AAM.pdf>
- [Dwarakanath 24] Dwarakanath, K., Dong, J., and Vyetrenko, S.: Tax Credits and Household Behavior: The Roles of Myopic Decision-Making and Liquidity in a Simulated Economy, in *Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF '24, p. 168176, New York, NY, USA (2024), Association for Computing Machinery, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3677052.3698599>
- [El Oubani 21] El Oubani, A. and Lekhal, M.: An agent-based model of financial market efficiency dynamics, *Borsa Istanbul Review* (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2021.10.005>
- [Faria 22] Faria, de L. G.: An Agent-Based Model With Realistic Financial Time Series: A Method for Agent-Based Models Validation, *arXiv* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2206.09772>
- [Farmer 09] Farmer, J. D. and Foley, D.: The economy needs agent-based modelling, *Nature*, Vol. 460, No. 7256, pp. 685–686 (2009), <https://www.nature.com/articles/460685a>
- [Farmer 22] Farmer, J. D. and Axtell, R. L.: Agent-Based Modeling in Economics and Finance: Past, Present, and Future, *INET Oxford Working Papers*, Vol. 10, (2022), <https://ideas.repec.org/p/amz/wpaper/2022-10.html>
- [Fluri 24] Fluri, L., Yilmaz, A. E., Bieri, D., Ankenbrand, T., and Perucca, A.: Simulating Liquidity: Agent-Based Modeling of Illiquid Markets for Fractional Ownership (2024), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.13381>
- [Fuchen 18] Fuchen, P. and Lin, L.: Trading Behaviours Analysis in an Artificial Stock Market, *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 6, No. 2, p. 69 (2018), <http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/journal/paperinfo?journalid=171&doi=10.11648/j.jfa.20180602.13>
- [Gallegati 17] Gallegati, M., Palestini, A., and Russo, A.: *Introduction to Agent-Based Economics*, Elsevier Inc. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2015-0-00736-5>
- [Gao 22] Gao, K., Vytelingum, P., Weston, S., Luk, W., and Guo, C.: High-frequency financial market simulation and flash crash scenarios analysis: an agent-based modelling approach, *arXiv* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.13654>
- [Gatti 24] Gatti, D. D., Ferraresi, T., Gusella, F., Popoyan, L., Ricchiuti, G., and Roventini, A.: The complex interplay between exchange rate and real markets: An agent-based model exploration, LEM Working Paper Series 2024/24, Pisa (2024), <https://hdl.handle.net/10419/303268>
- [Glielmo 23] Glielmo, A., Favorito, M., Chanda, D., and Delli Gatti, D.: Reinforcement Learning for Combining Search Methods in the Calibration of Economic ABMs, in *Proceedings of the Fourth ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF '23, p. 305313, New York, NY, USA (2023), Association for Computing Machinery, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3604237.3626889>
- [Gsell 09] Gsell, M.: Assessing the impact of algorithmic trading on markets: a simulation approach, *Center for Financial Studies (CFS) Working Paper* (2009), <http://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1076&context=ecis2008>
- [Gu 24] Gu, A., Wang, Y., Mascioli, C., Chakraborty, M., Savani, R., Turocy, T. L., and Wellman, M. P.: The Effect of Liquidity on the Spoofability of Financial Markets, in *Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF '24, p. 239247, New York, NY, USA (2024), Association for Computing Machinery, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3677052.3698634>
- [Guan 24a] Guan, X., Hoshino, M., Mizuta, T., and Yagi, I.: The Impact of Arbitrage Between Stock Markets With and Without Maker-Taker Fees Using an Agent-Based Simulation, *New Generation Computing*, pp. 1–17 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00354-023-00239-w>
- [Guan 24b] Guan, X., Mizuta, T., and Yagi, I.: Impact of arbitrage trading between an ETF and its underlying assets on market liquidity of their markets using an agent-based simulation, *Journal of Computational Social Science*, pp. 1–32 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42001-024-00324-0>
- [Guerini 22] Guerini, M., Lamperti, F., Napoletano, M., Roventini, A., and Treibich, T.: Unconventional monetary policies in an agent-based model with mark-to-market standards, *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy*, Vol. 3, pp. 73–107 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43253-022-00065-8>
- [Guilmi 22] Guilmi, C. D. and Fujiwara, Y.: Dual labor market, financial fragility, and deflation in an agent-based model of the Japanese macroeconomy, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, Vol. 196, pp. 346–371 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2022.02.003>
- [Hanson 16] Hanson, T. A. and Hanson, T. A.: High frequency traders in a simulated market, *Review of Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 329–351 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1108/RAF-02-2015-0023>
- [Hessary 17] Hessary, Y. K. and Hadzikadic, M.: Role of Behavioral Heterogeneity in Aggregate Financial Market Behavior: An Agent-Based Approach, *Procedia Computer Science*, Vol. 108, pp. 978 – 987 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.05.254>
- [Hirano 19] Hirano, M., Izumi, K., Matsushima, H., and Sakaji, H.: Comparison of behaviors of actual and simulated hft traders for agent design, in *22nd International Conference on Principles and Practice of Multi-Agent Systems*, Torino, Italy, October, pp. 28–31 (2019)
- [Hirano 20] Hirano, M., Izumi, K., Shimada, T., Matsushima, H., and Sakaji, H.: Impact Analysis of Financial Regulation on Multi-Asset Markets Using Artificial Market Simulations, *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, p. 75 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13040075>

- [Hirano 21a] Hirano, M., Izumi, K., and Sakaji, H.: STBM+: Advanced Stochastic Trading Behavior Model for Financial Markets using Residual Blocks or Transformers, *New Generation Computing*, pp. 1–18 (2021)
- [Hirano 21b] Hirano, M., Matsushima, H., Izumi, K., and Sakaji, H.: Implementation of Real Data for Financial Market Simulation Using Clustering, Deep Learning, and Artificial Financial Market, in Uchiya, T., Bai, Q., and Marsá Maestre, I. eds., *PRIMA 2020: Principles and Practice of Multi-Agent Systems*, pp. 3–18, Cham (2021), Springer International Publishing, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-69322-0_1
- [Hirano 22a] Hirano, M. and Izumi, K.: Quantitative Tuning of Artificial Market Simulation using Generative Adversarial Network, in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Agents (ICA)*, pp. 12–17 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICA55837.2022.00009>
- [Hirano 22b] Hirano, M., Sakaji, H., and Izumi, K.: Concept and Practice of Artificial Market Data Mining Platform, in *2022 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering and Economics (CIFER)*, pp. 1–10 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFER52523.2022.9776095>
- [Hirano 22c] Hirano, M., Sakaji, H., and Izumi, K.: Policy Gradient Stock GAN for Realistic Discrete Order Data Generation in Financial Markets, *arXiv* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2204.13338>
- [Hirano 23] Hirano, M. and Izumi, K.: Neural-network-based parameter tuning for multi-agent simulation using deep reinforcement learning, *World Wide Web*, Vol. 26, No. 5, pp. 3535–3559 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11280-023-01197-5>
- [Hommes 24] Hommes, C., He, M., Poledna, S., Siqueira, M., and Zhang, Y.: CANVAS: A Canadian Behavioral Agent-Based Model for Monetary Policy, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, p. 104986 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2024.104986>
- [Hoshino 22] Hoshino, M., Mizuta, T., Sudo, Y., and Yagi, I.: Impact of maker-taker fees on stock exchange competition from an agent-based simulation, *Journal of Computational Social Science*, pp. 1–20 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42001-022-00169-5>
- [Huang 24] Huang, Y.-H., Xu, C., Liu, Y., Liu, W., Li, W.-J., and Bian, J.: Controllable Financial Market Generation with Diffusion Guided Meta Agent (2024), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2408.12991>
- [Immonen 17] Immonen, E.: Simple agent-based dynamical system models for efficient financial markets: Theory and examples, *Journal of Mathematical Economics* (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmateco.2016.12.005>
- [Ionescu 25] Ionescu, c., Delcea, C., Nica, I., Dumitrescu, G., Simion, C.-E., and Cotfas, L.-A.: Exploring Complexity: A Bibliometric Analysis of Agent-Based Modeling in Finance and Banking, *International Journal of Financial Studies*, Vol. 13, No. 2 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs13020065>
- [Izumi 09] Izumi, K., Toriumi, F., and Matsui, H.: Evaluation of automated-trading strategies using an artificial market, *Neuro-computing*, Vol. 72, No. 16, pp. 3469–3476 (2009), Financial Engineering Computational and Ambient Intelligence (IWANN 2007)
- [Kang Gao 23] Kang Gao, P. V. N. R. S. W. L. C. G., Stephen Weston: Deeper Hedging: A New Agent-based Model for Effective Deep Hedging, *arXiv* (2023), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.18755>
- [Karpe 20] Karpe, M., Fang, J., Ma, Z., and Wang, C.: Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning in a Realistic Limit Order Book Market Simulation, in *Proceedings of the First ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF '20, New York, NY, USA (2020), Association for Computing Machinery, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3383455.3422570>
- [Kawakubo 14a] Kawakubo, S., Izumi, K., and Yoshimura, S.: Analysis of an Option Market Dynamics based on a Heterogeneous Agent Model, *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 105–128 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1353>
- [Kawakubo 14b] Kawakubo, S., Izumi, K., and Yoshimura, S.: How Does High Frequency Risk Hedge Activity Have an Affect on Underlying Market? : Analysis by Artificial Market Model, *Journal of advanced computational intelligence and intelligent informatics*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 558–566 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.20965/jaciii.2014.p0558>
- [Kita 16] Kita, H., Taniguchi, K., and Nakajima, Y.: *Realistic Simulation of Financial Markets*, Springer (2016), <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-55057-0>
- [Knicker 23] Knicker, M. S., Naumann-Woleske, K., Bouchaud, J.-P., and Zamponi, F.: Post-COVID Inflation & the Monetary Policy Dilemma: An Agent-Based Scenario Analysis, *arXiv* (2023), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.01284>
- [Kobayashi 11] Kobayashi, S. and Hashimoto, T.: Benefits and Limits of Circuit Breaker: Institutional Design Using Artificial Futures Market, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 355–372 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.14441/eier.7.355>
- [Kolm 22] Kolm, P. N. and Westray, N.: Mean-Variance Optimization for Simulation of Order Flow, *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 48, No. 6, pp. 185–195 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.3905/jpm.2022.1.376>
- [Kopiec 22] Kopiec, P.: The government spending multiplier in the Heterogeneous Agent New Keynesian model, *European Economic Review*, Vol. 145, p. 104125 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2022.104125>
- [Kotb 24] Kotb, N., Brenneisen, J.-N., Lengnick, M., no, C. R. P., and Wohltmann, H.-W.: Spillover Effects Between the Stock Market and the Real Economy in a Mixed-Frequency Agent-Based Macrofinancial Model, *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik*, Vol. 244, No. 4, pp. 331–350 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1515/jbnst-2024-0017>
- [Krichene 16] Krichene, H. and El-Aroui, M.-A.: Agent-Based Simulation and Microstructure Modeling of Immature Stock Markets, *Computational Economics*, pp. 1–19 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-016-9615-y>
- [Krichene 17] Krichene, H. and El-Aroui, M.-A.: Artificial stock markets with different maturity levels: simulation of information asymmetry and herd behavior using agent-based and network models (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11403-017-0191-6>
- [Kuno 22] Kuno, S., Tanaka, K., and Yamada, Y.: Effectiveness and Feasibility of Market Makers for P2P Electricity Trading, *Energies*, Vol. 15, No. 12 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15124218>
- [Kuo 21] Kuo, C. H., Chen, C. T., Lin, S. J., and Huang, S. H.: Improving Generalization in Reinforcement LearningBased Trading by Using a Generative Adversarial Market Model, *IEEE Access*, Vol. 9, pp. 50738–50754 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3068269>
- [Kusada 14] Kusada, Y., Mizuta, T., Hayakawa, S., and Izumi, K.: Impacts of Position-Based Market Makers on Markets' Shares of Trading Volumes - An Artificial Market Approach, in *Social Modeling and Simulations & Econophysics Colloquium 2014* (2014), <http://aph.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp/smsec2014/program-2/contributed-talks-posters/>
- [Leal 16] Leal, S. J. and Napoletano, M.: Market Stability vs. Market Resilience: Regulatory Policies Experiments in an Agent Based Model with Low-and High-Frequency Trading, Technical report, Laboratory of Economics and Management (LEM), Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa, Italy (2016), <http://www.lem.sssup.it/WPLem/2016-15.html>
- [LeBaron 06] LeBaron, B.: Agent-based computational finance, *Handbook of computational economics*, Vol. 2, pp. 1187–1233 (2006), [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0021\(05\)02024-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0021(05)02024-1)
- [Lerner 21] Lerner, P. B.: Transmission of Trading Orders through Communication Line with Relativistic Delay, *International Journal of Financial Studies*, Vol. 9, No. 1 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs9010012>
- [Lespagnol 17] Lespagnol, V. and Rouchier, J.: Trading Volume and Price Distortion: An Agent-Based Model with Heterogeneous Knowledge of Fundamentals, *Computational Economics*, pp. 1–30 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-017-9655-y>

- [Li 20] Li, J., Wang, X., Lin, Y., Sinha, A., and Wellman, M.: Generating Realistic Stock Market Order Streams, *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 34, No. 01, pp. 727–734 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v34i01.5415>
- [Li 22] Li, H. and Yang, S. Y.: Impact of False Information from Spoofing Strategies: An ABM Model of Market Dynamics, in *2022 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering and Economics (CIFEr)*, pp. 1–10 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr52523.2022.9776070>
- [Li 24a] Li, Y., Wu, Y., and Yang, P.: SimLOB: Learning Representations of Limited Order Book for Financial Market Simulation (2024), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.19396>
- [Li 24b] Li, Z., Wang, B., and He, R.: Evaluation and improvement of two homogeneous stock trading systems under computational and experimental finance in China: based on IASM model, *International Journal of Computational Economics and Econometrics*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 363–388 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJCEE.2024.142048>
- [Liston 24] Liston, P., Gretton, C., and Lensky, A.: The Role of Stop-Loss Orders in Market Efficiency and Stability: An Agent-Based Study, in *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence - Volume 1: ICAART*, pp. 280–288 INSTICC, SciTePress (2024), <https://doi.org/10.5220/0012371400003636>
- [Llacay 17] Llacay, B. and Pepper, G.: Impact of value-at-risk models on market stability, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 82, pp. 223 – 256 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2017.07.002>
- [Llacay 19] Llacay, B. and Pepper, G.: Impact of short-sales in stock market efficiency, *Algorithmic Finance* (2019), <https://doi.org/10.3233/AF-190215>
- [Lucas 18] Lucas, I., Cotsaftis, M., and Bertelle, C.: Self-Organization, Resilience and Robustness of Complex Systems Through an Application to Financial Market from an Agent-Based Approach, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, Vol. 28, No. 03, p. 1850044 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021812741850044X>
- [Luo 20] Luo, Q., Shi, Y., Zhou, X., Li, H., et al.: Research on the Effects of Institutional Liquidation Strategies on the Market Based on Multi-agent Model, *Computational Economics*, pp. 1–25 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-020-09987-z>
- [Luo 22] Luo, Q., Song, S., and Li, H.: Research on the Effects of Liquidation Strategies in the Multi-asset Artificial Market, *Computational Economics*, pp. 1–30 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-022-10316-9>
- [Lussange 24] Lussange, J., Vrizzi, S., Palminteri, S., and Gutkin, B.: Mesoscale effects of trader learning behaviors in financial markets: A multi-agent reinforcement learning study, *PLOS ONE*, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 1–40 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301141>
- [Maeda 20] Maeda, I., deGraw, D., Kitano, M., Matsushima, H., Sakaji, H., Izumi, K., and Kato, A.: Deep Reinforcement Learning in Agent Based Financial Market Simulation, *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, p. 71 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13040071>
- [Maharani 24] Maharani, S. N. and Rahmawati, S. A.: Financial Revolution through Agent-based Artificial Simulation Computational Models for Predicting Market Behavior, *KnE Social Sciences*, Vol. 9, No. 21, p. 624640 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i21.16771>
- [Mandes 19] Mandes, A.: Impact of Electronic Liquidity Providers Within a High-Frequency Agent-Based Modeling Framework, *Computational Economics* (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-019-09891-1>
- [Mascioli 24] Mascioli, C., Gu, A., Wang, Y., Chakraborty, M., and Wellman, M.: A Financial Market Simulation Environment for Trading Agents Using Deep Reinforcement Learning, in *Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF '24, p. 117125, New York, NY, USA (2024), Association for Computing Machinery, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3677052.3698639>
- [Masmoudi 21] Masmoudi, S. and Namouri, H.: *Investors' Heterogeneity and Interactions: Toward New Modeling Tools*, pp. 235–264, Springer International Publishing, Cham (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66691-0_7
- [Matei 22] Matei, V.: GameStop and the Social-Media Trader: An Agent-Based Model of the Short Squeeze, *Master of Science Informatics School of Informatics University of Edinburgh* (2022), https://project-archive.inf.ed.ac.uk/msc/20225626/msc_proj.pdf6
- [Matsumoto 25] Matsumoto, M., Hashimoto, R., Suzuki, M., Murayama, Y., and Izumi, K.: Impact of information disparity between individual investors on profits of meme stocks using an artificial market simulation approach, *Journal of Computational Social Science*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 1–29 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-1713-5>
- [Melton 17] Melton, H.: Market Mechanism Refinement on a Continuous Limit Order Book Venue: A Case Study, *SIGecom Exch.*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 72–77 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1145/3144722.3144729>
- [Miwa 18] Miwa, K.: Effective extension of trading hours, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40844-018-0092-y>
- [Mizuta 13a] Mizuta, T., Hayakawa, S., Izumi, K., and Yoshimura, S.: Investigation of Relationship between Tick Size and Trading Volume of Markets using Artificial Market Simulations, in *JPX working paper*, No. 2, Japan Excgange Group (2013), <https://www.jpx.co.jp/english/corporate/research-study/working-paper/>
- [Mizuta 13b] Mizuta, T., Izumi, K., and Yoshimura, S.: Price variation limits and financial market bubbles: Artificial market simulations with agents' learning process, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFEr), 2013 IEEE Conference on*, pp. 1–7 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr.2013.6611689>
- [Mizuta 14] Mizuta, T., Kosugi, S., Kusumoto, T., Matsumoto, W., Izumi, K., and Yoshimura, S.: Do Dark Pools make Markets Stable and Reduce Market Impacts? ~ Investigations using Multi Agent Simulations ~, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFEr), 2014 IEEE Conference on*, pp. 71–76 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr.2014.6924056>
- [Mizuta 15a] Mizuta, T., Noritake, Y., Hayakawa, S., and Izumi, K.: Impacts of Speedup of Market System on Price Formations using Artificial Market Simulations, in *JPX working paper*, No. 9, Japan Excgange Group (2015), <https://www.jpx.co.jp/english/corporate/research-study/working-paper/>
- [Mizuta 15b] Mizuta, T., Izumi, K., Yagi, I., and Yoshimura, S.: Investigation of Price Variation Limits, Short Selling Regulation, and Uptick Rules and Their Optimal Design by Artificial Market Simulations, *Electronics and Communications in Japan*, Vol. 98, No. 7, pp. 13–21 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecj.11684>
- [Mizuta 15c] Mizuta, T., Kosugi, S., Kusumoto, T., Matsumoto, W., and Izumi, K.: Effects of dark pools on financial markets' efficiency and price discovery function: an investigation by multi-agent simulations, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 375–394 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40844-015-0020-3>
- [Mizuta 16a] Mizuta, T. and Izumi, K.: Investigation of Frequent Batch Auctions using Agent Based Model, in *JPX working paper*, No. 17, Japan Excgange Group (2016), <https://www.jpx.co.jp/english/corporate/research-study/working-paper/>
- [Mizuta 16b] Mizuta, T.: Micro-Foundation of ARCH Model, *SSRN Working Paper Series* (2016), <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2710457>
- [Mizuta 16c] Mizuta, T., Kosugi, S., Kusumoto, T., Matsumoto, W., Izumi, K., Yagi, I., and Yoshimura, S.: Effects of Price Regulations and Dark Pools on Financial Market Stability: An Investigation by Multiagent Simulations, *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management*, Vol. 23, No. 1-2, pp. 97–120 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1374>

- [Mizuta 16d] Mizuta, T., Noritake, Y., Hayakawa, S., and Izumi, K.: Affecting market efficiency by increasing speed of order matching systems on financial exchanges - investigation using agent based model, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFEr), 2016 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence on* (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI.2016.7850002>
- [Mizuta 17] Mizuta, T. and Horie, S.: Why do Active Funds that Trade Infrequently Make a Market more Efficient? - Investigation using Agent-Based Model, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFEr), 2017 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence on* (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI.2017.8280798>
- [Mizuta 18] Mizuta, T.: Effect of Increasing Horizontal Shareholding with Index Funds on Competition and Market Prices – Investigation by Agent-Based Model –, in *2018 International Conference on Behavioral, Economic, Socio-cultural Computing (BESC)* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40844-018-0102-0>
Accepted Ver.: http://www.mizutatakanobu.com/BESC2018_submit.pdf
- [Mizuta 19a] Mizuta, T.: Agent-Based Model of Liquidity and Arbitrage Cost Between ETF and Stocks, in *2019 8th International Congress on Advanced Applied Informatics (IIAI-AAI)*, pp. 685–688 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1109/IIAI-AAI.2019.00141>
- [Mizuta 19b] Mizuta, T. and Horie, S.: Mechanism by which Active Funds Make Market Efficient Investigated with Agent-Based Model, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 43–63 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40844-018-0102-0>
FULL Text: <https://rdcu.be/7mVh>
- [Mizuta 20a] Mizuta, T.: An agent-based model for designing a financial market that works well, in *2020 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI)*, pp. 400–406 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI47803.2020.9308376>
<https://ssrn.com/abstract=3403461>
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.06000>
detailed slides: <https://mizutatakanobu.com/2021kyushu.pdf>
presentation(YouTube): <https://youtu.be/rmlb72ykmLE>
- [Mizuta 20b] Mizuta, T.: Can an AI perform market manipulation at its own discretion? A genetic algorithm learns in an artificial market simulation, in *2020 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI)*, pp. 407–412 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI47803.2020.9308349>
- [Mizuta 20c] Mizuta, T.: How Many Orders does a Spoofer Need? - Investigation by Agent-Based Model -, in *2020 7th International Conference on Behavioural and Social Computing (BESC)*, pp. 1–4 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1109/BESC51023.2020.9348308>
- [Mizuta 22a] Mizuta, T.: *Artificial Intelligence (AI) for Financial Markets: A Good AI for Designing Better Financial Markets and a Bad AI for Manipulating Markets*, pp. 305–329, Springer Nature Singapore, Singapore (2022), https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0937-5_13
- [Mizuta 22b] Mizuta, T., Yagi, I., and Takashima, K.: Instability of financial markets by optimizing investment strategies investigated by an agent-based model, in *2022 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering and Economics (CIFEr)*, pp. 1–8 (2022), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr52523.2022.9776207>
- [Mizuta 23] Mizuta, T. and Yagi, I.: Comparing Effects of Price Limit and Circuit Breaker in Stock Exchanges by an Agent-Based Model, in *2023 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI)*, pp. 75–82 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI52147.2023.10371874>
- [Mizuta 24] Mizuta, T. and Yagi, I.: An Interaction Between a Leveraged ETF and Futures in a Crash Investigated by an Agent-Based Model, in *2024 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering and Economics (CIFEr)*, pp. 1–10 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr62890.2024.10772770>
- [Mizuta 25] Mizuta, T. and Yagi, I.: *Financial Market Design by an Agent-Based Model*, Springer Nature (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-1713-5>
- [Mo 13] Mo, S. Y. K. and Yang, M. P. S. Y.: A Study of Dark Pool Trading using an Agent-based Model, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFEr), 2013 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence on*, pp. 19–26 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr.2013.6611692>
- [Muranaga 99] Muranaga, J. and Shimizu, T.: Effect of Trading Halt System on Market Functioning: Simulation Analysis of Market Behavior with Artificial Shutdown, in *IMES Discussion Paper Series*, Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies, Bank of Japan (1999), <https://www.sec.gov/marketstructure/research/increasing-the-mpi-combined.pdf>
- [Nagumo 16] Nagumo, S., Shimada, T., and Ito, N.: The effect of tick size on trading volume share in three competing stock markets, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 750, No. 1, p. 012019 (2016), <http://stacks.iop.org/1742-6596/750/i=1/a=012019>
- [Nagumo 17] Nagumo, S., Shimada, T., Yoshioka, N., and Ito, N.: The Effect of Tick Size on Trading Volume Share in Two Competing Stock Markets, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, Vol. 86, No. 1, p. 014801 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.86.014801>
- [Nagy 23] Nagy, P., Calliess, J.-P., and Zohren, S.: Asynchronous Deep Double Duelling Q-Learning for Trading-Signal Execution in Limit Order Book Markets, *arXiv* (2023), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.08688>
- [Nagy 25] Nagy, P., Frey, S., Li, K., Sarkar, B., Vyetenko, S., Zohren, S., Calinescu, A., and Foerster, J.: LOB-Bench: Benchmarking Generative AI for Finance – an Application to Limit Order Book Data (2025), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2502.09172>
- [Nakagawa 25] Nakagawa, K., Hirano, M., Minami, K., and Mizuta, T.: A Multi-agent Market Model Can Explain the Impact of AI Traders in Financial Markets—A New Microfoundations of GARCH Model, in Arisaka, R., Sanchez-Anguix, V., Stein, S., Aydoğan, R., Torre, van der L., and Ito, T. eds., *PRIMA 2024: Principles and Practice of Multi-Agent Systems*, pp. 97–113, Cham (2025), Springer Nature Switzerland, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-77367-9_9
- [Neuner 22] Neuner, M., Proano, C. R., and Russo, A.: Counter-cyclical Capital Buffers, Bank Concentration and Macrofinancial Stability in an Agent Based Macro-Financial Framework, *Advances in Complex Systems*, Vol. 2022, No. ja, p. null (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021952592240001X>
- [Noia 24] Noia, D. and Jlenia, : When firms buy corporate bonds: an agent-based approach to credit within firms, *Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination*, pp. 1–37 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11403-023-00399-4>
- [Noritake 22] Noritake, Y., Mizuta, T., Hemmi, R., Nagumo, S., and Izumi, K.: Investigation on effect of excess buy orders using agent-based model, in *2022 9th International Conference on Behavioural and Social Computing (BESC)*, pp. 1–5 IEEE (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1109/BESC57393.2022.9994930>
- [Nozaki 17] Nozaki, A., Mizuta, T., and Yagi, I.: A Study on the Market Impact of the Rule for Investment Diversification at the Time of a Market Crash Using a Multi-Agent Simulation, *IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems*, Vol. E100.D, No. 12, pp. 2878–2887 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1587/transinf.2016AGP0003>
- [Oesch 14] Oesch, C.: An agent-based model for market impact, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFEr), 2104 IEEE Conference on*, pp. 17–24 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFEr.2014.6924049>
- [Ogibayashi 14] Ogibayashi, S. and Takashima, K.: Influence of the Corporation Tax Rate on GDP in an Agent-Based Artificial Economic System, in Chen, S.-H., Terano, T., Yamamoto, R., and Tai, C.-C. eds., *Advances in Computational Social Science*, pp. 157–173, Tokyo (2014), Springer Japan, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54847-8_11
- [Ogibayashi 22] Ogibayashi, S.: *Model Structure of Agent-Based Artificial Economic System Responsible for Reproducing Fundamental Economic Behavior of Goods Market*, pp. 89–141, Springer Nature Singapore, Singapore (2022), https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0937-5_4

- [Otsuka 14] Otsuka, T.: High Frequency Trading and the Complexity of the U.S. Equities Market (Japanese only), in *JPX Working Paper*, No. Special Report, Japan Exchange Group (2014), <https://www.jpx.co.jp/english/corporate/research-study/working-paper/>
- [Paddrik 12] Paddrik, M., Hayes, R., Todd, A., Yang, S., Beling, P., and Scherer, W.: An agent based model of the E-Mini S and P 500 applied to flash crash analysis, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFER), 2012 IEEE Conference on*, pp. 1–8 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFER.2012.6327800>
- [Pallante 24] Pallante, G., Guerini, M., Napoletano, M., and Roven-tini, A.: Robust-less-fragile: Tackling Systemic Risk and Financial Contagion in a Macro Agent-Based Model, GREDEG Working Papers 2024-10, Groupe de REcherche en Droit, Economie, Gestion (GREDEG CNRS), Universit  Cte d’Azur, France (2024), <https://ideas.repec.org/p/gre/wpaper/2024-10.html>
- [Peters 22] Peters, F., Neuberger, D., Reinhardt, O., and Uhrmacher, A.: A basic macroeconomic agent-based model for analyzing monetary regime shifts (2022), <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2205.00752>
- [Poledna 23] Poledna, S., Miess, M. G., Hommes, C., and Ra-bitsch, K.: Economic forecasting with an agent-based model, *European Economic Review*, Vol. 151, p. 104306 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2022.104306>
- [Potluru 23] Potluru, V. K., Borrajo, D., Coletta, A., Dalmasso, N., El-Laham, Y., Fons, E., Ghassemi, M., Gopalakrishnan, S., Go-sai, V., Krea, I., Mani, G., Obityo, S., Paramanand, D., Ra-man, N., Solonin, M., Sood, S., Vyetenko, S., Zhu, H., Veloso, M., and Balch, T.: Synthetic Data Applications in Finance (2023), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.00081>
- [Prata 24] Prata, M., Masi, G., Berti, L., Arrigoni, V., Coletta, A., Cannistraci, I., Vyetenko, S., Velardi, P., and Bartolini, N.: Lob-based deep learning models for stock price trend prediction: a benchmark study, *Artificial Intelligence Review*, Vol. 57, No. 5, p. 116 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10715-4>
- [Pruna 16] Pruna, R. T., Polukarov, M., and Jennings, N. R.: An as-set pricing model with loss aversion and its stylized facts, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFER), 2016 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence on* (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI.2016.7850003>
- [Reissl 22] Reissl, S.: Fiscal multipliers, expectations and learning in a macroeconomic agent-based model, *Economic Inquiry*, Vol. n/a, No. n/a (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecin.13084>
- [Rusnak 24] Rusnak, A. M. and Daul, S.: Macroeconomic Condi-tioned Synthetic Financial Markets, in *Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on AI in Finance, ICAIF ’24*, p. 150158, New York, NY, USA (2024), Association for Comput-ing Machinery, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3677052.3698606>
- [Sakiyama 16] Sakiyama, T. and Yamada, T.: Market Liquidity and Systemic Risk in Government Bond Markets: A Network Analysis and Agent-Based Model Approach, *Discussion Paper Series*, No. E-13 (2016), <http://www.imes.boj.or.jp/research/abstracts/english/16-E-13.html>
- [Sasaki 25] Sasaki, K. and Yokouchi, D.: An artificial market model for the forex market, *Humanities and Social Sciences Commu-nications*, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 1–16 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04605-5>
- [Schmitt 16] Schmitt, N. and Westerhoff, F.: Heterogeneity, spon-taneous coordination and extreme events within large-scale and small-scale agent-based financial market models, No. 111 (2016), <https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/144607>
- [Scopino 16] Scopino, G.: Do Automated Trading Systems Dream of Manipulating the Price of Futures Contracts? Policing Markets for Improper Trading Practices by Algorithmic Robots, *Florida Law Review*, Vol. 67, p. 221 (2016), <https://scholarship.law.ufl.edu/flr/vol67/iss1/5>
- [Segovia 22] Segovia, J. E. T., Di Sciorio, F., Mattera, R., and Spano, M.: A Bibliometric Analysis on Agent-Based Models in Finance: Identification of Community Clusters and Future Re-search Trends, *Complexity*, Vol. 2022, (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4741566>
- [Shearer 21] Shearer, M., Byrd, D., Balch, T. H., and Well-man, M. P.: Stability Effects of Arbitrage in Exchange Traded Funds: An Agent-Based Model, in *2nd ACM International Con-ference on AI in Finance (ICAIF)* (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1145/3490354.3494414>
- [Shearer 22] Shearer, M.: Modeling Trading Strategies in Financial Markets with Data, Simulation, and Deep Reinforcement Learn-ing, *Doctoral Thesis* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.7302/6362>
- [Shearer 23] Shearer, M., Rauterberg, G., and Wellman, M. P.: Learning to Manipulate a Financial Benchmark, ICAIF ’23, p. 592600, New York, NY, USA (2023), Association for Computing Machinery, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3604237.3626847>
- [Shi 21] Shi, Y., Li, B., and Du, G.: Pyramid scheme in stock mar-ket: a kind of financial market simulation, *arXiv* (2021), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.02179>
- [Song 24] Song, S. and Li, H.: Improving Price Generation: A Novel Agent-Based Model for Capturing Persistent Jumps in As-set Prices, *Computational Economics*, pp. 1–32 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-024-10724-z>
- [Takashima 24] Takashima, K. and Yagi, I.: Model Building and Description Using the Agent-based Computational Economics Framework for Accounting, *Journal of Information Processing*, Vol. 32, pp. 10–21 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.2197/ipsjjip.32.10>
- [Thurner 12] Thurner, S., Farmer, J., and Geanakoplos, J.: Lever-age causes fat tails and clustered volatility, *Quantitative Finance*, Vol. 12, No. 5, pp. 695–707 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2012.674301>
- [Todd 16] Todd, A., Beling, P., Scherer, W., and Yang, S. Y.: Agent-based financial markets: A review of the methodology and do-main, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFER), 2016 IEEE Symposium Series on Compu-tational Intelligence on* (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSCI.2016.7850016>
- [Torii 15] Torii, T., Izumi, K., and Yamada, K.: Shock transfer by arbitrage trading: analysis using multi-asset artificial mar-ket, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 395–412 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40844-015-0024-z>
- [Tsao 17] Tsao, C.-Y. and Huang, Y.-C.: Revisiting the issue of sur-vivability and market efficiency with the Santa Fe Artificial Stock Market, *Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination*, pp. 1–24 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11403-017-0192-5>
- [Tubbenhauer 21] Tubbenhauer, T., Fieberg, C., and Poddig, T.: Multi-agent-based VaR forecasting, *Journal of Economic Dy-namics and Control*, Vol. 131, p. 104231 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2021.104231>
- [Veld 16] Veld, D. i.: Adverse effects of leverage and short-selling constraints in a financial market model with heterogeneous agents, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 69, pp. 45 – 67 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2016.05.005>
- [Veryzhenko 17] Veryzhenko, I., Arena, L., Harb, E., and Oriol, N.: Time to Slow Down for High-Frequency Trading? Lessons from Artificial Markets, *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management*, pp. n/a–n/a (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1407>
- [Veryzhenko 21] Veryzhenko, I.: Who gains and who loses on stock markets? Risk preferences and timing matter, *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management*, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp. 143–155 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1493>
- [Vidler 24] Vidler, A. and Walsh, T.: Modelling Opaque Bilateral Market Dynamics in Financial Trading: Insights from a Multi-Agent Simulation Study (2024), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.02849>
- [Vyetenko 20] Vyetenko, S., Byrd, D., Petosa, N., Mahfouz, M., Dervovic, D., Veloso, M., and Balch, T.: *Get Real: Realism Met-rics for Robust Limit Order Book Market Simulations*, ICAIF ’20, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1145/3383455.3422561>

- [Vytelingum 25] Vytelingum, P., Baggott, R., Stillman, N., Zhang, J., Zhu, D., Chen, T., and Lyon, J.: Agent-based Liquidity Risk Modelling for Financial Markets (2025), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2505.15296>
- [Wah 13] Wah, E. and Wellman, M. P.: Latency arbitrage, market fragmentation, and efficiency: a two-market model, in *Proceedings of the fourteenth ACM conference on Electronic commerce*, pp. 855–872 ACM (2013), <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2482577>
- [Wah 16] Wah, E. and Wellman, M. P.: Latency arbitrage in fragmented markets: A strategic agent-based analysis, *Algorithmic Finance*, No. Preprint, pp. 1–25 (2016), <http://content.iospress.com/articles/algorithmic-finance/af060>
- [Wang 13] Wang, C., Izumi, K., Mizuta, T., and Yoshimura, S.: Investigating the Impact of Trading Frequencies of Market Makers: a Multi-agent Simulation Approach, *SICE Journal of Control, Measurement, and System Integration*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 216–220 (2013), <http://doi.org/10.9746/jcmsi.6.216>
- [Wang 17] Wang, Y. and Toriumi, F.: Analysis of group behavior bias in Financial Markets using artificial market, in *The Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence Interest Group on Financial Informatics*, Vol. 18 (2017), <http://sigfin.org/SIG-FIN-018-21/>
- [Wang 21] Wang, X., Hoang, C., Vorobeychik, Y., and Wellman, M. P.: Spoofing the Limit Order Book: A Strategic Agent-Based Analysis, *Games*, Vol. 12, No. 2 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.3390/g12020046>
- [Weisberg 12] Weisberg, M.: *Simulation and Similarity: Using Models to Understand the World*, Oxford Studies in the Philosophy of Science (2012), <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/9780199933662>
- [Wellman 17] Wellman, M. P. and Wah, E.: Strategic Agent-Based Modeling of Financial Markets, *RSF*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 104–119 (2017), <http://www.rsfjournal.org/doi/abs/10.7758/RSF.2017.3.1.06>
- [Westerhoff 08] Westerhoff, F.: The use of agent-based financial market models to test the effectiveness of regulatory policies, *Jahrbucher Fur Nationalokonomie Und Statistik*, Vol. 228, No. 2, p. 195 (2008), <https://doi.org/10.1515/jbnst-2008-2-305>
- [Westphal 23] Westphal, R. and Sornette, D.: How Market Intervention can Prevent Bubbles and Crashes: An Agent Based Modelling Approach, *Computational Economics*, pp. 1–42 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-023-10462-8>
- [Xiong 15] Xiong, Y., Yamada, T., and Terano, T.: Comparison of different market making strategies for high frequency traders, in *2015 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC)*, pp. 324–335 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.2015.7408175>
- [Xiong 17] Xiong, X., Liang, J., Cui, Y., Zhang, W., and Zhang, Y.: Analysis of the Spot Market's T+1 Trading System Effects on the Stock Index Futures Market, *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, Vol. 13, No. 12, pp. 7679–7693 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/77941>
- [Xiong 22] Xiong, X., Liu, J., Yang, Z., and Han, J.: An Agent-Based Model for the Impact of Price Limit Changes on Market Quality, *International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making*, Vol. 21, No. 06, pp. 1777–1795 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622022500286>
- [Yagi 10] Yagi, I., Mizuta, T., and Izumi, K.: A Study on the Effectiveness of Short-selling Regulation using Artificial Markets, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 113–132 (2010), <https://doi.org/10.14441/eier.7.113>
- [Yagi 12] Yagi, I., Mizuta, T., and Izumi, K.: A study on the reversal mechanism for large stock price declines using artificial markets, in *Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering Economics (CIFER), 2012 IEEE Conference on*, pp. 1–7 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.1109/CIFER.2012.6327791>
- [Yagi 16] Yagi, I. and Mizuta, T.: Analysis of the Impact of Leveraged ETF Rebalancing Trades on the Underlying Asset Market Using Artificial Market Simulation, in *12th Artificial Economics Conference* (2016), http://ae2016.it/public/ae2016/files/ssc2016_Mizuta.pdf
sildes: <http://www.slideshare.net/mizutata/20160921>
- [Yagi 17] Yagi, I., Nozaki, A., and Mizuta, T.: Investigation of the rule for investment diversification at the time of a market crash using an artificial market simulation, *Evolutionary and Institutional Economics Review*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 451–465 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40844-017-0070-9>
- [Yagi 19] Yagi, I., Masuda, Y., and Mizuta, T.: Detection of Factors Influencing Market Liquidity Using an Agent-Based Simulation, Network Theory and Agent-Based Modeling in Economics and Finance, pp. 111–131, Springer (2019), https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8319-9_6
- [Yagi 20a] Yagi, I., Maruyama, S., and Mizuta, T.: Trading Strategies of a Leveraged ETF in a Continuous Double Auction Market Using an Agent-Based Simulation, *Complexity* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3497689>
- [Yagi 20b] Yagi, I., Masuda, Y., and Mizuta, T.: Analysis of the Impact of High-Frequency Trading on Artificial Market Liquidity, *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, Vol. 7, No. 6, pp. 1324–1334 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSS.2020.3019352>
- [Yagi 23] Yagi, I., Hoshino, M., Mizuta, T., et al.: Impact of High-Frequency Trading with an Order Book Imbalance Strategy on Agent-Based Stock Markets, *Complexity*, Vol. 2023, (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/3996948>
- [Yagi 24] Yagi, I., Guan, X., and Mizuta, T.: Investigation of market impacts of arbitrage trading between an ETF and its underlying assets using an agent-based simulation, *Finance Research Letters*, Vol. 67, p. 105862 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2024.105862>
- [Yang 20] Yang, X., Zhang, J., and Ye, Q.: Tick Size and Market Quality: Simulations Based on Agent-Based Artificial Stock Markets, *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1474>
- [Yang 25a] Yang, P., Ren, J., Wang, F., and Tang, K.: Towards Calibrating Financial Market Simulators with High-frequency Data (2025), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.00538>
- [Yang 25b] Yang, X., Zhang, J., Ye, Q., and Chang, V.: Leveraging asymmetric price limits for financial stability in industrial applications: An agent-based model, *Computers in Industry*, Vol. 164, p. 104197 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2024.104197>
- [Yeh 10] Yeh, C. and Yang, C.: Examining the effectiveness of price limits in an artificial stock market, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 34, No. 10, pp. 2089–2108 (2010), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2010.05.015>
- [Yoshimura 20] Yoshimura, Y., Okuda, H., and Chen, Y.: A mathematical formulation of order cancellation for the agent-based modelling of financial markets, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, Vol. 538, p. 122507 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2019.122507>
- [Zare 21] Zare, M., A., O. N., Salavati, E., and Mohammadpour, A.: An agent-based model and detect price manipulation based on intraday transaction data with simulation, *Applied Economics*, Vol. 53, No. 43, pp. 4931–4949 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2021.1912282>
- [Zhang 16] Zhang, X., Ping, J., Zhu, T., Li, Y., and Xiong, X.: Are Price Limits Effective? An Examination of an Artificial Stock Market, *PloS one*, Vol. 11, No. 8, p. e0160406 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160406>
- [Zhang 17] Zhang, J., McBurney, P., and Musial, K.: Convergence of trading strategies in continuous double auction markets with boundedly-rational networked traders, *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, pp. 1–52 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11156-017-0631-3>
- [Zhang 20] Zhang, J., Xiong, X., An, Y., and Feng, X.: The Impact of Competition on Analysts' Forecasts: A Simple Agent-Based Model, *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity*, Vol. 33, pp. 1980–1996 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11424-020-9006-2>
- [Zhao 20] Zhao, R., Cui, Y., and Liu, X.: Tick Size and Market Quality Using an Agent-Based Multiple-Order-Book Model, *Frontiers in Physics*, Vol. 8, p. 135 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2020.00135>

- [Zhao 24] Zhao, Y. and Ju, R.: Investor Structure and Corn Futures Price Volatility in China: Evidence Based on the Agent-Based Model, *Computational Economics*, pp. 1–25 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-024-10613-5>
- [Zhou 17] Zhou, X. and Li, H.: Buying on Margin and Short Selling in an Artificial Double Auction Market, *Computational Economics* (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-017-9722-4>
- [Zhou 21] Zhou, X., Kuang, Q., and Li, H.: Spot-Futures Market Interaction and the Impact of Arbitrage: An Agent-Based Modelling Method, in Li, M., Bohács, G., Hua, G., Gong, D., and Shang, X. eds., *IEIS 2020*, pp. 259–271, Singapore (2021), Springer Singapore, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4363-4_20
- [Zhou 23] Zhou, R., Xiong, X., Llacay, B., and Pepper, G.: Market Impact Analysis of Financial Literacy among A-Share Market Investors: An Agent-Based Model, *Entropy*, Vol. 25, No. 12 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.3390/e25121602>
- [Zhou 24] Zhou, C.: The Impact of Designated Market Makers on Market Liquidity and Competition: A Simulation Approach (2024), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2409.16589>
- [Zhu 23] Zhu, H., Vyetrenko, S., Grundl, S., Byrd, D., Dwarakanath, K., and Balch, T.: Once Burned, Twice Shy? The Effect of Stock Market Bubbles on Traders that Learn by Experience (2023), <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.17472>